134377

BEFORE THE FEDERAL AVIATION ADMINISTRATION WASHINGTON, D.C.



Notice of Alternative Policy Options for Managing Capacity at LaGuardia Airport and Proposed Extension of the Lottery Allocations

Docket FAA-2001-9852 - | 9

COMMENTS OF SPIRIT AIRLINES, INC.

Communications concerning this document should be addressed to:

Mark S. Kahan Vice Chairman and General Counsel SPIRIT AIRLINES, INC. 2800 Executive Way Miramar, Florida 33025 (954) 447-7965 Anita M. Mosner **ECLAT CONSULTING, INC.** 1555 Wilson Boulevard Suite 602 Arlington, VA 22209 (703) 294-5890

Representatives of **SPIRIT AIRLINES, INC.**

Date: July 12, 2001

BEFORE THE FEDERAL AVIATION ADMINISTRATION WASHINGTON, D.C.

Notice of Alternative Policy Options for Managing Capacity at LaGuardia Airport and Proposed Extension of the Lottery Allocations

Docket FAA-2001-9852

COMMENTS OF SPIRIT AIRLINES, INC.

On June 12, 2001, the Federal Aviation Administration ("FAA") issued in this Docket a Request for Comments on alternative policy options for mitigating congestion at New York's LaGuardia Airport ("LGA"). FAA asked interested parties to comment on or before July 12 as to whether the prevailing arrangement for allocating new entrant and commuter aircraft slots at LGA should be extended beyond the current expiration date of September 15, 2001. FAA also asked for substantive comments on proposed slot allocation options that might be implemented after the expiration of the current regime. Spirit is today commenting only on the question as to whether the current "lottery" method, which entered into force on January 31, 2001, should be extended through October 26, 2002. Spirit will file further comments on the capacity allocation proposals that are under consideration.

Given the unfortunate reality of severe operating constraints at LGA, Spirit supports the extension of the prevailing lottery arrangement until October 26, 2002, so that FAA can have adequate time to evaluate all of the possible alternatives. Spirit

strongly hopes that the FAA will continue to bear in mind the special problems of newentrant airlines at LGA when considering these options. In addition, Spirit hopes that FAA also will explore various capacity expansion alternatives, even if they are not achievable in the short term.

Although access to slots has rightly been the FAA's primary focus, FAA should also be aware of other factors which might aggravate congestion at LGA. For its part, Spirit has been able to secure access only to a single gate at LGA. Reliance on a single gate means that Spirit (and carriers which are similarly situated) suffer especially severe service disruptions when it encounters ground delays at LGA. These delays often cause a cascade of delays throughout Spirit's system.

Spirit has taken numerous steps to adjust its schedules to mitigate the impact of delays at LGA. For example, flight times have been adjusted, and planned ground times have been lengthened to recognize the "new reality" at LGA. While these changes have improved Spirit's operations, Spirit would like to suggest an administrative remedy that might further alleviate protracted delays.

Certain flights and schedules are affected more significantly by ATC delays than others. For its part, Spirit has an LGA-DTW flight which continues on to LAX, whereafter the aircraft turns around overnight and returns to the East Coast. If that flight is delayed, then the impact is felt over at least two consecutive days. Every step possible has been taken to insulate that flight from delays. Thus, the LGA departure was moved from the 1600 hour to the 1500 hour to avoid the most congested periods; scheduled turn times at LGA have been increased to 65 minutes; the incoming flight comes from uncongested Fort Myers; and that flight (NK 890) is the aircraft's first flight

of the day, thus minimizing the risk of mechanical or other difficulties. Still a ground delay program which delays Flt 890 even 30 minutes negates all these measures, and causes virtually certain delays the next day. Spirit would urge that FAA's Air Traffic Management Branch to provide carriers with some mechanism to "prioritize" their

flights, and provide them with a means to identify and "protect" some small number of

flights which are most sensitive to delays.

Spirit is aware of the enormous difficulty of the task the FAA faces in managing capacity at LGA, and appreciates the steps taken thus far to preserve the operational viability of new entrants at LGA. The remedy Spirit proposes might be another positive step the FAA can take to mitigate the disruption and inconvenience caused by severe flight delays, and Spirit urges that it be given full consideration.

Respectfully submitted,

Anita M. Mosner

ECLAT CONSULTING, INC.

1555 Wilson Boulevard

Suite 602

Arlington, VA 22209

(703) 294-5890

Representatives of **SPIRIT AIRLINES, INC.**

Date: July 12, 2001